

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/562,105 | 12/22/2005 | Helmut Jerg | 2003P00938WOUS | 8104 | |
| 46726 7599 09/15/2010 BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL | | |
| | 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562 | | | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 3743 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 09/15/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | Application |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 10/562,10 |
| Review | Stephen I |

| Application/Control No. | | Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|-------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| 10/562,105 | | JERG ET AL. | | |
| | | Art Unit | | |
| Stephen M. Gra | vini | 3743 | | |
| | * *** | - | - | |

| i ilis is ili response to the | rie-Appeal Brief Request for Re- | view filed to July 2010. |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 1 Impreper Pegu | net The Request is improper a | nd a conforcing will not be hold for the fol |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal | Brief Request for Review filed 16 July | 2010. | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Improper Request – The F reason(s): | Request is improper and a conference | will not be held for the following | | |
| The request does not incl | not been filed concurrent with the Pre- lude reasons why a review is appropris is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief re | ate. | | |
| | nse continues to run from the receipt d mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal ha | | | |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has bee held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applica is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt dat of the notice of appeal, as applicable. | | | | |
| ☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 9-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from core | | ws: | | |
| Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | |
| ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. | | | | |
| All participants: | | | | |
| (1) Stephen M. Gravini. | (3)Michael Phil | llips. | | |
| (2) Kenneth Rinehart. | (4) | | | |
| /Stephen M. Gravini/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3743 | /Kenneth B Rinehart/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3743 | /Michael Phillips/ RQAS | | |